

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
PORTLAND DIVISION

TERESA PARSONS,

Plaintiff,

No. 3:12-cv-00083-HU

OPINION AND ORDER

v.

**CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting
Commissioner of Social Security,**

Defendant.

MOSMAN, J.,

On March 24, 2014, Magistrate Judge Hubel issued his Findings and Recommendation (“F&R”) [26] in the above-captioned case, recommending that Plaintiff’s unopposed application for fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act [22] be GRANTED and fees awarded in the amount of \$6,859.93.

The magistrate judge makes only recommendations to the court, to which any party may file written objections. I am not bound by the recommendations of the magistrate judge; instead, I retain responsibility for making the final determination. I am required to review de novo those portions of the report or any specified findings or recommendations within it to which an objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, I am not required to review, de novo or

under any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the F&R to which no objections are addressed. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003). While the level of scrutiny under which I am required to review the F&R depends on whether objections have been filed, in either case I am free to accept, reject, or modify any part of the F&R. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Upon review, I agree with Judge Hubel's recommendation and I ADOPT the F&R [26] as my own opinion. Plaintiff's unopposed application for fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act [22] is GRANTED, and fees are awarded in the amount of \$6,859.93.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 18th day of April, 2014.

/s/ Michael W. Mosman
MICHAEL W. MOSMAN
United States District Judge